



Harding University  
**Scholar Works at Harding**

---

John Allen Chalk: Personal Correspondence

John Allen Chalk

---

3-5-1963

To: Kenney Smith

John Allen Chalk

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.harding.edu/hst-chalk-personal>

---

### Recommended Citation

Chalk, J. A. (1963). To: Kenney Smith. Retrieved from <https://scholarworks.harding.edu/hst-chalk-personal/1057>

This Letter is brought to you for free and open access by the John Allen Chalk at Scholar Works at Harding. It has been accepted for inclusion in John Allen Chalk: Personal Correspondence by an authorized administrator of Scholar Works at Harding. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@harding.edu](mailto:scholarworks@harding.edu).



A copy of his reply is enclosed. I believe it is self-explanatory. Leroy Garrett is not the open-minded lover of truth that he claims to be. He is not interested in restoration of the New Testament Church but rather the establishment of a modern catch-all. If these remarks are not satisfactory, I will appreciate further communication. March 5, 1963

Fraternally yours,

Mr. Kenney Smith  
Freed-Hardeman College  
Box 16 A  
Henderson, Tennessee

John Allen Chalk

JAC/sw

Dear Kenney:

JAC.

I appreciate your kind letter concerning my lecture at Freed-Hardeman College. It was a genuine pleasure to appear on the Lectureship and to know that so many receptive people were in the audience.

When the Lectureship book is released you will observe that no mention is made in my outline of Leroy Garrett. I knew that many of the preachers in the audience that night would know of Leroy and would appreciate learning of his attitude toward my plan.

The sermon in manuscript form was sent to Leroy's latest literary efforts, a quarterly publication, The Restoration Review. The first issue of that publication stated his aim, "This new journal has the dual purpose of encouraging the study of the great ideal of the restoration of early christianity to modern religion and of promoting moral and spiritual values in modern education." (p. 1, Vol. 1, # 1, Winter, 1959.) Later in the fourth issue he repeated this attitude under a different context, "For years I have contended that the dissenting groups among us must establish contact, irrespective of whether anything said or done is of particular importance." (p. 197, Vol. 1, # 4, Fall, 1959.) Reading of his desire to publish any remarks along the line of restorationism, I was prompted to send him the plan presented at the lectureship.

A copy of his reply is enclosed. I believe it is self-explanatory. Leroy Garrett is not the open-minded lover of truth that he claims to be. He is not interested in restoration of the New Testament Church but rather the establishment of a modern catch-all. If these remarks are not satisfactory, I will appreciate further communication from you.

Fraternally yours,

Mr. Kenney Smith  
 Freed-Hardeman College  
 Box 16  
 Henderson, Tennessee  
 JAC/sw

John Allen Chalk

Dear Kenney:  
 enc.

I appreciate your kind letter concerning my lecture at Freed-Hardeman College. It was a genuine pleasure to appear on the lectureship and to know that so many receptive people were in the audience.

When the Lectureship book is released you will observe that no mention is made in my outline of Leroy Garrett. I knew that many of the preachers in the audience that night would know of Leroy and would appreciate learning of his attitude toward my plan.

The sermon in manuscript form was sent to Leroy's latest literary efforts, a quarterly publication, The Restoration Review. The first issue of that publication stated his aim, "This new journal has the dual purpose of encouraging the study of the great ideal of the restoration of early christianity to modern religion and of promoting moral and spiritual values in modern education." (p. 1, Vol. 1, # 1, Winter, 1959.) Later in the fourth issue he repeated this attitude under a different context, "For years I have contended that the dissenting groups among us must establish contact, irrespective of whether anything said or done is of particular importance." (p. 197, Vol. 1, # 4, Fall, 1959.) Reading of his desire to publish any remarks along the line of restorationism, I was prompted to send him the plan presented at the lectureship.